

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. VX002115 2192 09/544,202 04/07/2000 Yoshiyuki Shitaya 7590 08/13/2003 VARNDELL & VARNDELL, PLLC EXAMINER 106-A SOUTH COLUMBUS STREET WAHBA, ANDREW W ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2661 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/544,202	SHITAYA, YOSHIYUKI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew W Wahba	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04/07/2000</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
•	4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fernandes et al. Fernandes et al. discloses a versatile communications controller that multiplexes input signals that correspond to one or more protocols into a composite signal that utilizes one protocol. The multiplexed composite signal is transmitted along a communication line and de-multiplexed into the individual input signals when received (see column 1, lines 25-50). Fernandes et al. further presents the use of different communication links that include a serial transmission line (see column 2, lines 4-5 and lines 20-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fernandes et al. in view of Loibl. With respect to claims 2 and 3, the applicant presents a construction machine composed in part by electronic devices that communicate with a variety of protocols using a single serial transmission line. Fernandes et al. makes

known a communications controller that multiplexes input signals that employ one or more protocols into a composite signal that is transmitted using one protocol. When received, the multiplexed composite signal may be de-multiplexed into the original input signals (see column 1, lines 25-50). Fernandes et al. further presents the use of different communication links that include a serial transmission line (see column 2, lines 4-5 and lines 20-24). However, Fernandes et al. fails to disclose the use of the communication device for specific applications such as a construction machine or other motor vehicle. Loibl presents a bus system that connects a plurality of devices specific to a motor vehicle (see claim 6). Given the ability for devices that employ a plurality of protocols to communicate along a single channel and the communications of a variety of devices in a motor vehicle, the usage of different protocols within a vehicle may be achieved. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ devices that communicate with different protocols along a single line within a motor vehicle or specifically, a construction machine. By using devices that employ different protocols, the selection of electronic devices for use in a construction machine increases, as the protocol is no longer a limiting factor. As a result, construction machines foreseeable become cheaper to manufacture and may provide increased functionality.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Wahba whose telephone number is (703) 305-4684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

· Art Unit: 2661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-9509 for regular communications and (703) 305-9509 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Andrew Wahba

July 29, 2003

Douglas OLMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600